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#### Abstract

A general exchange pair approach is developed to identify the limiting distribution for any sequence of random variables, by calculating the conditional mean and the conditional second moments. The error of approximation is also studied. In particular, a Berry-Esseen type bound of $O\left(n^{-3 / 4}\right)$ is obtained for the Curie-Weiss model at the critical temperature.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $W:=W_{n}$ be a sequence of random variables of interest. Since the exact distribution of $W$ is usually unknown, it would be interesting to find out the limiting distribution. There are several approaches to solve this problem. The classical method is to calculate the characteristic function, which may not be easy to do. Another approach is to use the Stein method. Stein's method was first introduced by [18] for normal approximation. The method is striking because it can deal with not only independent random variables but also dependent random variables and it can also provide an accuracy of the approximation. Stein's idea and method have been extended to various approximation far beyond the normal approximation, for example, to Poisson approximation by Chen [5], to diffusion approximation by Barbour [1], to Gamma approximation by Luk [15], to multivariate normal approximation by Barbour [1], and Meckes and Meckes [16]. We refer to [6] for a thorough coverage of Stein methods fundamentals and recent developments in both theory and applications. We also refer to [2] for a short survey on Stein's method.

By using the exchangeable pair approach of Stein's method, Chatterjee and Shao [4] provided a concrete method to identify the limiting distribution of $W$ under certain conditions. Let $\left(W, W^{\prime}\right)$ be an exchangeable pair and $\Delta=W-W^{\prime}$. Assume that there exist $\lambda>0$, measurable functions $g(w), r_{1}(w)$ and $r_{2}(w)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}(\Delta \mid W)=\lambda\left(g(W)+r_{1}(W)\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]and
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left(\Delta^{2} \mid W\right)=2 \lambda\left(1+r_{2}(W)\right) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Let $G(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s, p(t)=c_{0} \exp (-G(t))$, where $c_{0}=1 / \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-G(t)} d t$. Under some regular assumptions on $g(w)$, Chatterjee and Shao [4] showed that if $\mathrm{E}\left(\left|r_{1}(W)\right|+\left|r_{2}(W)\right|+|\Delta|^{3} / \lambda\right) \rightarrow 0$, then $W \xrightarrow{{ }^{d}} Y$, where $Y$ has the probability density function $p(t)$.

Assumption (1.2) implies that the conditional second moment of $\Delta$, given $W$, satisfies a law of large numbers. However, this may not be true in general. The main purpose of this note is to find the limiting distribution of $W$ without the assuming condition (1.2).

The paper is organized as follows. The next section presents the main results. In Section 3, an application to Curie-Weiss model at the critical temperature is discussed with a Berry-Esseen type bound of $O\left(n^{-3 / 4}\right)$. Section 4 provides some basic properties of Stein's equation and solution, while the proof of the main results is postponed to Section 5 .

## 2 Main results

Let ( $W, W^{\prime}$ ) be an exchangeable pair and $\Delta=W-W^{\prime}$. Assume that there exist $\lambda>0$ and measurable functions $g(w), v(w) \geqslant 0, r_{1}(w)$ and $r_{2}(w)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}[\Delta \mid W]=\lambda\left(g(W)+r_{1}(W)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left[\Delta^{2} \mid W\right]=2 \lambda\left(v(W)+r_{2}(W)\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that conditional expected value and the conditional second moment of $\Delta$, given $W$, must be a measurable function of $W$. So Conditions (2.1) and (2.2) are always satisfied.

Let $Y$ be a random variable with the probability density function

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(w)=\frac{1}{c_{1} v(w)} \exp (-Q(w)), \quad w \in(a, b) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q(w)=\int_{w_{0}}^{w} q(t) d t, w_{0}$ satisfies $g\left(w_{0}\right)=0, q(t)=g(t) / v(t)$ and $c_{1}$ is the normalizing constant. Assume $v(a+) p(a+)=v(b-) p(b-)=0$.

To present our main results, we first introduce some assumptions on the functions $g$ and $v$. Assume that
(B1) There exist constants $\alpha \geqslant 1$ and $\beta \geqslant 0$ such that for $w_{0} \leqslant x \leqslant y<b$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x)| \leqslant \alpha g(y)+\beta \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $a<y \leqslant x \leqslant w_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x)| \leqslant-\alpha g(y)+\beta . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(B2) There exists a constant $c_{2} \geqslant c_{1} \max \{1, \mathrm{E}[v(Y)]\}$ such that the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2} g(x)=1, \quad c_{2} g(x)=v(x) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

have at most one solution on $\left(w_{0}, b\right)$ and the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2} g(x)=-1, \quad c_{2} g(x)=-v(x) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

have at most one solution on $\left(a, w_{0}\right)$.
(B3) There exists an interval $[l, u] \subset(a, b)$ such that on $\left(a, w_{0}\right), v(x)$ is non-decreasing or $\inf _{x \in(a, l)} v(x)$ $\geqslant c_{3}$, on $\left(w_{0}, b\right), v(x)$ is non-increasing or $\inf _{x \in(u, b)} v(x) \geqslant c_{3}$. Moreover, $\left\|v^{\prime}\right\|=\sup _{x \in(a, b)}\left|v^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant c_{4}$.
(B4) There exists a constant $c_{5} \geqslant 1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{1,\left|g^{\prime}(y)\right|\right\} \min \left\{c_{2},(\alpha+1)\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) /|g(y)|\right\}\left(|y|+\mathrm{E}|Y|+c_{2}\right) \leqslant c_{5} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1. If $g$ and $g / v$ are non-decreasing on $(a, b)$ with $\left(w-w_{0}\right) g(w) \geqslant 0$, then Conditions (B1) and (B2) are satisfied with $\alpha=1$ and $\beta=0$.

We are now ready to identify the limiting distribution of $W$.
Theorem 2.2. Let $\left(W, W^{\prime}\right)$ be an exchangeable pair satisfying (2.1), (2.2) and the conditions (B1) -(B4). If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left|r_{1}(W)\right|+\mathrm{E}\left|r_{2}(W)\right|+\mathrm{E}\left|\Delta^{3} /(\lambda v(W))\right| \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $W$ converges to $Y$ in distribution.
The next theorem gives an $L_{1}$ bound for the approximation.
Theorem 2.3. Let $\left(W, W^{\prime}\right)$ be as defined in Theorem 2.2. Then for $\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|<\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathrm{E}[h(W)]-\mathrm{E}[h(Y)]| \leqslant C\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|\left(\mathrm{E}\left|r_{1}(W)\right|+\mathrm{E}\left|r_{2}(W)\right|+\frac{1}{\lambda} \mathrm{E}\left[|\Delta|^{3} / v(W)\right]\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a finite constant depending on $w_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{5}, \alpha$ and $\beta$.
When $\Delta$ is bounded, we can also give the Berry-Esseen bound for the approximation. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Delta| \leqslant \delta \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also assume that
(B5) The interval $(a, b)$ can be partitioned by three parts, $I_{1}, I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ and there exists a constant $\delta_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E} \sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta}\left|\frac{1}{v(W+t)}\right| \mathbb{1}\left(W \in I_{1} \cup I_{3}\right) \leqslant \delta_{1} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $v$ is absolutely continuous and there exist constants $c_{6}$ and $c_{7}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta} \sup _{x \in I_{2}}\left|\frac{1}{v(x+t)}\right| \leqslant c_{6}, \quad \sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta} \sup _{x \in I_{2}}\left|v^{\prime}(x+t)\right| \leqslant c_{7} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following Berry-Essen type inequality:
Theorem 2.4. Assume that (2.1), (2.2), (2.11) and Conditions (B1), (B2), (B4) and (B5) are satisfied. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{z \in(a, b)} & |\mathrm{P}(W \leqslant z)-\mathrm{P}(Y \leqslant z)| \\
\leqslant & 2 c_{2} \mathrm{E}\left|r_{1}(W)\right|+\mathrm{E}\left|\frac{r_{2}(W)}{v(W)}\right|+\frac{2\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}+1\right) \delta^{2} \delta_{1}}{\lambda}+\left(\|p\|+2 c_{5} / c_{2}\right) \delta \\
& +\frac{\delta^{3}}{\lambda}\left(\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) c_{6}^{2} c_{7}+c_{5} c_{6} / c_{2}+c_{5} c_{6} \mathrm{E}|g(W)|+c_{6}^{2}\left(\alpha+1+\beta c_{2}\right) \mathrm{E}|g(W)|\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 Application to Curie-Weiss model

Consider the Curie-Weiss model for $n$-spins $\sigma=\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right) \in\{-1,1\}^{n}$ at temperature $T$. The joint density function of $\sigma$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{Z_{T}} \exp \left(\frac{\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}}{T n}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{T}$ is the normalizing constant. For the critical temperature $T=1$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=W(\sigma)=n^{-3 / 4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a simple statistical mechanical model of ferromagnetic interaction, also called the Ising model on the complete graph. For a detailed mathematical treatment of this model, we refer to the book by [8].

It was proved by [9-11] that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the law of $W$ converges to the distribution with density proportional to $\mathrm{e}^{-x^{4} / 12}$ and Chatterjee and Shao [4] obtained a Berry-Esseen bound of $O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$. For various interesting extensions and refinements of their results, one can refer to [12, 17].

In this section, we shall prove that the Berry-Essen bound can be improved to $O\left(n^{-3 / 4}\right)$ when the "limiting distribution" is allowed to depend on $n$, which in turn also shows that the result obtained by Chatterjee and Shao [4] is optimal.

We first construct $W^{\prime}$ so that $\left(W, W^{\prime}\right)$ is an exchangeable pair. Let $I$ be a uniformly distributed random index over $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. For each $i$, given $\sigma_{j}, j \neq i, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, let $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ be independent of $\sigma_{i}$ and have the same conditional distribution as $\sigma_{i}$. Set $W^{\prime}=W\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{I-1}, \sigma_{I}^{\prime}, \sigma_{I+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}\right)$. Then $\left(W, W^{\prime}\right)$ is an exchangeable pair. The following lemma verifies various conditions in Theorems 2.3 and 2.4.
Lemma 3.1. Let $\Delta=W-W^{\prime}$. We have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}[\Delta \mid W]=\frac{1}{3} n^{-3 / 2} W^{3}-n^{-2} W+O\left(n^{-5 / 2}\right)\left(1+W^{5}\right)  \tag{3.3}\\
& \mathrm{E}\left[\Delta^{2} \mid W\right]=2 n^{-3 / 2} \max \left\{1-n^{-1 / 2} W^{2}, n^{-1}\right\}+O\left(n^{-5 / 2}\right)\left(1+W^{4}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& \left|W-W^{\prime}\right| \leqslant 2 n^{-3 / 4} \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}|W|^{3} \leqslant 15 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this lemma, we can choose $\lambda=n^{-3 / 2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(w)=\frac{w^{3}}{3}-n^{-1 / 2} w, \quad v(w)=\max \left\{1-n^{-1 / 2} w^{2}, n^{-1}\right\} \\
& \left|r_{1}(w)\right| \leqslant A n^{-1}|w|^{3}, \quad\left|r_{2}(w)\right| \leqslant A n^{-1}\left(1+w^{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A$ is an absolute constant.
Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& q(w)=\frac{w^{3} / 3-n^{-1 / 2} w}{\max \left\{1-n^{-1 / 2} w^{2}, n^{-1}\right\}} \\
& Q(y)=\int_{0}^{y} q(w) d w \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and $Y$ be a random variable with the probability density function

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(y)=\frac{1}{c_{1} v(y)} \mathrm{e}^{-Q(y)}, \quad y \in(-\infty, \infty) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ is the normalizing constant.
Theorem 3.2. We have for any absolutely continuous function $h$ with $\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|<\infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathrm{E}[h(W)-h(Y)]| \leqslant C\left\|h^{\prime}\right\| n^{-3 / 4} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z}|\mathrm{P}(W \leqslant z)-\mathrm{P}(Y \leqslant z)| \leqslant C n^{-3 / 4} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is an absolute constant.
Remark 3.3. From (3.10) and (3.7), we see that $\mathrm{P}(Y \leqslant z)$ involves a term of order $n^{-1 / 2}$. This indicates that the error bound of order $O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$ given by Chatterjee and Shao [4] is optimal.

Proof. By Theorems 2.3 and 2.4, it suffices to show that Conditions (B1)-(B5) are satisfied. It is easy to see that for all $0 \leqslant x \leqslant y \leqslant n^{1 / 4},|g(x)| \leqslant g(y)+1$ and for $0 \geqslant x \geqslant y \geqslant-n^{1 / 4},|g(x)| \leqslant-g(y)+1$. It is also not difficult to verify that Conditions (B2)-(B4) are satisfied.

As for (B5), we choose $I_{1}=\left(-\infty,-n^{1 / 4} / \sqrt{2}\right), I_{2}=\left[-n^{1 / 4} / \sqrt{2}, n^{1 / 4} / \sqrt{2}\right]$ and $I_{3}=\left(n^{1 / 4} / \sqrt{2}, \infty\right)$. Recall that $v(w)=\max \left\{1-n^{-1 / 2} w^{2}, n^{-1}\right\}$, then for $w \in I_{1} \cup I_{3},|t| \leqslant \delta, v(w+t) \geqslant n^{-1}$.

By [3, Proposition 4], for $t \geqslant 0, \mathrm{P}(|W| \geqslant t) \leqslant 2 \mathrm{e}^{-c t^{4}}$, where $c>0$ is an absolute constant, we have by integration by parts,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}\left[\sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta}\left|\frac{1}{v(W+t)}\right| \cdot \mathbb{1}\left(n^{-1 / 2} W^{2}>1 / 2\right)\right] \\
& \quad \leqslant n \mathrm{P}\left(W^{2}>n^{1 / 2} / 2\right)+n \int_{n^{1 / 2} / 2}^{\infty} \mathrm{P}\left(W^{2} \geqslant y\right) d y \\
& \quad \leqslant C n^{-3 / 4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$
\mathrm{E}\left|\frac{1}{v(W)}\right| \leqslant C, \quad \mathrm{E}\left|r_{1}(W)\right| \leqslant C n^{-1}, \quad \mathrm{E}\left|r_{2}(W)\right| \leqslant C n^{-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{E}\left|\frac{r_{2}(W)}{v(W)}\right| \leqslant C n^{-1}
$$

for some absolute constant $C$. One can also check that $\|p\| \leqslant C$ for some constant $C$. This completes the proof.

We now turn to the proof of Lemma 3.1.
Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let

$$
M=n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}, \quad M_{i}=n^{-1} \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_{i} .
$$

Thus $|M| \leqslant 1$ and $|W| \leqslant n^{-1 / 4}$.
Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the sigma filed generated by $\sigma$. By [4], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}[\Delta \mid \mathcal{F}] & =n^{-3 / 4} M-n^{-7 / 4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tanh M_{i} \\
& =n^{-3 / 4} M-n^{-7 / 4} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(M_{i}-\frac{M_{i}^{3}}{3}+O(1) M_{i}^{5}\right) \\
& =n^{-3 / 2}\left(\frac{W^{3}}{3}-n^{-1 / 2} W+O\left(n^{-1}\right)\left(1+|W|^{5}\right)\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

This proves (3.3).
By [4] again, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}\left[\Delta^{2} \mid \mathcal{F}\right] & =2 n^{-3 / 2}-2 n^{-5 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i} \tanh M_{i} \\
& =2 n^{-3 / 2}-2 n^{-5 / 2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}\left(M_{i}-\frac{M_{i}^{3}}{3}+O(1) M_{i}^{5}\right) \\
& =2 n^{-3 / 2}\left(1-n^{-1} W^{2}+O\left(n^{-1}\left(1+W^{4}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =2 n^{-3 / 2} \max \left\{1-n^{-1} W^{2}, n^{-1}\right\}+O\left(n^{-5 / 2}\left(1+W^{4}\right)\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The inequalities (3.5) and (3.6) follows directly from [4]. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

## 4 Properties of the Stein solution

In this section, we will recall or prove some basic properties of the Stein solution, mainly following the arguments in [4]. We start with the Stein equation.

Let $Y$ be a random variable with the probability density function (2.3). For any absolutely continuous function $f$, one can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left[v(Y) f^{\prime}(Y)\right]=\mathrm{E}[g(Y) f(Y)] \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a given measureable function $h$, let $f_{h}$ be the solution to the following Stein equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(w) f^{\prime}(w)-g(w) f(w)=h(w)-\mathrm{E}[h(Y)], \quad w \in(a, b) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that the solution $f_{h}$ can be expressed as for $w \in(a, b)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{h}(w) & =\frac{1}{v(w) p(w)} \int_{a}^{w}(h(t)-\mathrm{E}[h(Y)]) p(t) d t \\
& =-\frac{1}{v(w) p(w)} \int_{w}^{b}(h(t)-\mathrm{E}[h(Y)]) p(t) d t \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (4.2) has also been discussed in $[7,13,14]$, where an error bound of certain distances (but not including $L_{1}$ distance) of beta distribution approximation were obtained. Theorem 2.3 provides an $L_{1}$ bound for general non-normal approximation.
Lemma 4.1. Assume that (B4) is satisfied and $\delta>0$ with $\left(c_{5} / c_{2}\right) \delta \leqslant 1 / 2$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta}|g(w+t)-g(w)| \leqslant 2\left(c_{5} / c_{2}^{2}\right) \delta+2\left(c_{5} / c_{2}\right) \delta|g(w)| \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (2.8) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g^{\prime}(w)\right| \leqslant\left(c_{5} / c_{2}\right)\left(1 / c_{2}+|g(x)|\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by the mean value theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta}|g(w+t)-g(w)| & \leqslant \delta \sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta}\left|g^{\prime}(w+t)\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{5}}{c_{2}} \delta\left(1 / c_{2}+|g(w+t)|\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(c_{5} / c_{2}^{2}\right) \delta+\left(c_{5} / c_{2}\right) \delta|g(w)|+\left(c_{5} / c_{2}\right) \delta \sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta}|g(w+t)-g(w)| \\
& \leqslant\left(c_{5} / c_{2}^{2}\right) \delta+\left(c_{5} / c_{2}\right) \delta|g(w)|+\frac{1}{2} \sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta}|g(w+t)-g(w)|
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields (4.4).
Lemma 4.2. Let $\|h\|<\infty$ and $f_{h}$ be the solution to the equation (4.2). Under Conditions (B1)-(B4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{h}\right\| \leqslant 2 c_{2}\|h\|, \quad\left\|f_{h} g\right\| \leqslant 2\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right)\|h\| \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Recall that the solution to (4.2) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{h}(w) & =\frac{1}{v(w) p(w)} \int_{a}^{w}(h(t)-\mathrm{E}[h(Y)]) p(t) d t \\
& =-\frac{1}{v(w) p(w)} \int_{w}^{b}(h(t)-\mathrm{E}[h(Y)]) p(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

For $w \leqslant w_{0}$, define

$$
H(w)=\int_{a}^{w} p(t) d t-c_{2} v(w) p(w)
$$

and thus

$$
H^{\prime}(w)=p(w)\left(1+c_{2} g(w)\right)
$$

Since $g\left(w_{0}\right)=0$ and there exists at most one point $w_{1}$ such that $c_{2} g\left(w_{1}\right)+1=0, H(w)$ achieves its maximum at $w_{0}$ or $a$. Note that $H(a) \leqslant 0$ by definition and

$$
H\left(w_{0}\right) \leqslant 1-c_{2} / c_{1} \leqslant 0
$$

This gives $H(w) \leqslant 0$ for $w \in\left(a, w_{0}\right]$ and thus $\left|f_{h}(w)\right| \leqslant c_{2}\|h\|$. Similarly, we can prove $f_{h}(w) \leqslant c_{2}\|h\|$ on $\left(w_{0}, b\right)$.

Next, we will give the bound of $\left\|f_{h} g\right\|$. For $w \in\left(w_{0}, b\right)$, by (2.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{h}(w) g(w)\right| & =|g(w)| \mathrm{e}^{Q(w)} \int_{w}^{b} \frac{1}{v(t)} \mathrm{e}^{-Q(t)} d t \\
& \leqslant \alpha \mathrm{e}^{Q(w)} \int_{w}^{b} \frac{g(t)}{v(t)} \mathrm{e}^{-Q(t)} d t+\beta \mathrm{e}^{Q(w)} \int_{w}^{b} p(t) d t \\
& \leqslant \alpha+\beta c_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, for $w \in\left(a, w_{0}\right)$,

$$
\left|f_{h}(w) g(w)\right| \leqslant \alpha+\beta c_{2}
$$

This completes the proof.
Lemma 4.3. Let $f_{h}$ be the solution given by (4.3). Assume that Conditions (B1)-(B4) are satisfied and that $h$ is absolutely continuous with $\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|f_{h}\right\| \leqslant c_{5}\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|, \quad\left\|f_{h}^{\prime}\right\| \leqslant C\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|,  \tag{4.7}\\
& \left\|f_{h} g^{\prime}\right\| \leqslant c_{5}\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|, \quad\left\|f_{h}^{\prime} g\right\| \leqslant C\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|, \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ is a constant depending on $\alpha, \beta, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$ and $c_{5}$.
Proof. Since $h$ is absolutely continuous,

$$
h(y)-\mathrm{E}[h(Y)]=\int_{a}^{y} h^{\prime}(t) F(t) d t-\int_{y}^{b} h^{\prime}(t)(1-F(t)) d t .
$$

By (4.3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{h}(y) v(y) p(y) & =\int_{a}^{y}(h(t)-\mathrm{E}[h(Y)]) p(t) d t \\
& =-(1-F(y)) \int_{a}^{y} h^{\prime}(t) F(t) d t-F(y) \int_{y}^{b} h^{\prime}(t)(1-F(t)) d t \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(y) v(y) p(y)| \leqslant\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|\left((1-F(y)) \int_{a}^{y} F(t) d t+F(y) \int_{y}^{b}(1-F(t)) d t\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.6), we know for $y \in\left(w_{0}, b\right)$,

$$
1-F(y) \leqslant c_{2} v(y) p(y) \min \{1,1 /|g(y)|\} .
$$

By Condition (B2), we can similarly prove

$$
\int_{y}^{b} v(t) p(t) d t \leqslant c_{2} v(y) p(y), \quad \text { for } \quad y \in(a, b)
$$

Note that for $y \in\left(w_{0}, b\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{y} F(s) d s=y F(y)-\int_{a}^{y} t p(t) d t \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1 & -F(y)) \int_{a}^{y} F(t) d t+F(y) \int_{y}^{b}(1-F(t)) d t \\
& \leqslant \min \left\{c_{2},\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) /|g(y)|\right\}(|y|+\mathrm{E}|Y|) v(y) p(y)+\int_{y}^{b} \min \left\{c_{2},\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) /|g(t)|\right\} v(t) p(t) d t \\
& \leqslant \min \left\{c_{2},\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) /|g(y)|\right\}(|y|+\mathrm{E}|Y|) v(y) p(y)+\min \left\{c_{2}, \alpha\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) /(|g(y)|-\beta)\right\} \int_{y}^{b} v(t) p(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used (2.4) in the last line. When $|g(y)|-\beta \leqslant \alpha^{2} / c_{2}+\alpha \beta$, we have $\min \left\{c_{2}, \alpha\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) /(|g(y)|\right.$ $-\beta)\}=c_{2}$. Otherwise, $|g(y)| \geqslant(\alpha+1) \beta$ and thus

$$
\alpha\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) /(|g(y)|-\beta) \leqslant(\alpha+1)\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) /|g(y)|
$$

Hence, we can rewrite these terms above as

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-F(y)) \int_{a}^{y} F(t) d t+F(y) \int_{y}^{b}(1-F(t)) d t \\
& \leqslant \\
& \quad \min \left\{c_{2},\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) /|g(y)|\right\}(|y|+\mathrm{E}|Y|) v(y) p(y)  \tag{4.12}\\
& \quad+\min \left\{c_{2},(\alpha+1)\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) /|g(y)|\right\} c_{2} v(y) p(y) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by (2.8), for $y \in\left(w_{0}, b\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max \left\{1,\left|g^{\prime}(y)\right|\right\}\left((1-F(y)) \int_{a}^{y} F(t) d t+F(y) \int_{y}^{b}(1-F(t)) d t\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant v(y) p(y) \max \left\{1, g^{\prime}(y)\right\} \min \left\{c_{2},(\alpha+1)\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) /|g(y)|\right\}\left(|y|+\mathrm{E}|Y|+c_{2}\right) \\
& \quad \leqslant c_{5} v(y) p(y) \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, for $y \in\left(a, w_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{1,\left|g^{\prime}(y)\right|\right\}\left((1-F(y)) \int_{a}^{y} F(t) d t+F(y) \int_{y}^{b}(1-F(t)) d t\right) \leqslant c_{5} v(y) p(y) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.10), (4.13) and (4.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{h}\right\| \leqslant c_{5}\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|, \quad\left\|f_{h} g^{\prime}\right\| \leqslant c_{5}\left\|h^{\prime}\right\| . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we prove the second inequality of (4.7). By [7], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{h}^{\prime}(y)\right| \leqslant\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|\left(\frac{\int_{a}^{y} F(s) d s|G(y)|+\int_{y}^{b}(1-F(s)) d s|H(y)|}{v^{2}(y) p(y)}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
G(y)=v(y) p(y)-g(y)(1-F(y)), \quad H(y)=v(y) p(y)+g(y) F(y)
$$

When $y \in\left(w_{0}, b\right)$ and if $v$ is non-increasing,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{y}^{b}(1-F(s)) d s\left|1+\frac{g(y) F(y)}{v(y) p(y)}\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant c_{2} \int_{y}^{b} v(s) p(s) d s\left|1+\frac{|g(y)|}{v(y) p(y)}\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant c_{2}\left(1+\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) v(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int_{y}^{b}(1-F(s)) d s|H(y)|}{v^{2}(y) p(y)} \leqslant c_{2}\left(1+\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.11),

$$
\frac{\int_{a}^{y} F(s) d s|G(y)|}{v^{2}(y) p(y)} \leqslant \frac{|y| G(y)}{v^{2}(y) p(y)}+\frac{\mathrm{E}|Y| G(y)}{v^{2}(y) p(y)}
$$

Recall that

$$
v(y) p(y)=-\int_{a}^{y} g(t) p(t) d t=\int_{y}^{b} g(t) p(t) d t
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{(|y|+\mathrm{E}|Y|)|G(y)|}{v^{2}(y) p(y)} & =\frac{(|y|+\mathrm{E}|Y|)\left|\int_{y}^{b}(g(t)-g(y)) p(t) d t\right|}{v^{2}(y) p(y)} \\
& =\frac{(|y|+\mathrm{E}|Y|)\left|\int_{y}^{b} g^{\prime}(t)(1-F(t)) d t\right|}{v^{2}(y) p(y)} \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{2}(|y|+\mathrm{E}|Y|) \int_{y}^{b}\left|g^{\prime}(t)\right| v(t) p(t) d t}{v^{2}(y) p(y)} \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{2} \int_{y}^{b}\left|g^{\prime}(t)\right|(|t|+\mathrm{E}|Y|) p(t) d t}{v(y) p(y)} \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{5} \int_{y}^{b}\left(|g(t)|+1 / c_{2}\right) p(t) d t}{v(y) p(y)} \\
& \leqslant \frac{c_{5} \int_{y}^{b}\left(\alpha g(t)+1 / c_{2}+\beta\right) p(t) d t}{v(y) p(y)} \\
& \leqslant C,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a constant depending on $c_{2}, c_{5}, \alpha$ and $\beta$. Therefore,

$$
\sup _{y \in\left(w_{0}, b\right)} \frac{\int_{a}^{y} F(s) d s|G(y)|+\int_{y}^{b}(1-F(s)) d s|H(y)|}{v^{2}(y) p(y)} \leqslant C .
$$

Similarly, we can also prove that if $1 / v(y) \leqslant 1 / c_{3}$ we have

$$
\sup _{y \in\left(w_{0}, b\right)} \frac{\int_{a}^{y} F(s) d s|G(y)|+\int_{y}^{b}(1-F(s)) d s|H(y)|}{v^{2}(y) p(y)} \leqslant C .
$$

For $y \in\left(a, w_{0}\right)$, if $v(y)$ is non-decreasing or $1 / v(y) \leqslant 1 / c_{3}$, we also have

$$
\sup _{y \in\left(a, w_{0}\right)} \frac{\int_{a}^{y} F(s) d s|G(y)|+\int_{y}^{b}(1-F(s)) d s|H(y)|}{v^{2}(y) p(y)} \leqslant C .
$$

This proves $\left\|f_{h}^{\prime}\right\| \leqslant C\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|$.
Finally, we will give the bound of $f_{h}^{\prime} g$. From (4.2), we have

$$
f_{h}^{\prime \prime} v-f_{h}^{\prime} g=h^{\prime}+g^{\prime} f_{h}-v^{\prime} f_{h}^{\prime}
$$

Thus

$$
\left(f_{h}^{\prime} v p\right)^{\prime}=f_{h}^{\prime \prime} v p-f_{h}^{\prime} g p=h^{\prime} p+g^{\prime} f_{h} p-v^{\prime} f_{h}^{\prime} p
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g(x) f_{h}^{\prime}(x) v(x) p(x)\right| & =\left|g(x) \int_{a}^{x}\left(h^{\prime} p\right)(t)+\left(g^{\prime} f_{h}\right)(t)-\left(v^{\prime} f_{h}^{\prime} p\right)(t) d t\right| \\
& =\left|g(x) \int_{x}^{b}\left(h^{\prime} p\right)(t)+\left(g^{\prime} f_{h} p\right)(t)-\left(v^{\prime} f_{h}^{\prime} p\right)(t) d t\right| \\
& \leqslant C\left\|h^{\prime}\right\||g(x)| \min \{F(x), 1-F(x)\} \\
& \leqslant C\left\|h^{\prime}\right\| v(x) p(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves $\left\|f_{h}^{\prime} g\right\| \leqslant C\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|$ where $C$ is a constant.
Lemma 4.4. Let $f_{h}$ be the solution given by (4.3) and satisfy the conditions in Lemma 4.3. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{h}^{\prime}(x+t)-f_{h}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant C\left\|h^{\prime}\right\||t| / v(x) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|f_{h}^{\prime}(x+t)-f_{h}^{\prime}(x)\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{f_{h}(x+t) g(x+t)}{v(x+t)}-\frac{f_{h}(x) g(x)}{v(x)}+\frac{h(x+t)-\mathrm{E}[h(Y)]}{v(x+t)}-\frac{h(x)-\mathrm{E}[h(Y)]}{v(x)}\right| \\
& \leqslant \\
& \left|f_{h}(x+t) g(x+t)+h(x+t)-\mathrm{E} h(Y)\right| \times\left|\frac{1}{v(x+t)}-\frac{1}{v(x)}\right| \\
& \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{v(x)}\left|f_{h}(x+t) g(x+t)-f_{h}(x) g(x)\right|+\frac{1}{v(x)}|h(x+t)-h(x)| \\
& = \\
& : L_{1}+L_{2}+L_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We next give the bounds of $L_{1}, L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$. For $L_{1}$,

$$
\left|\frac{1}{v(x+t)}-\frac{1}{v(x)}\right| \leqslant \frac{|v(x+t)-v(x)|}{v(x) v(x+t)} \leqslant \frac{c_{4}|t|}{v(x+t) v(x)}
$$

and by (4.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1} \leqslant \frac{c_{4}\left|f_{h}^{\prime}(x+t)\right||t|}{v(x)} \leqslant C\left\|h^{\prime}\right\||t| / v(x) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $L_{2}$, it is easy to see

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2} \leqslant \frac{\left(\left\|f_{h} g^{\prime}\right\|+\left\|f_{h}^{\prime} g\right\|\right)|t|}{v(x)} \leqslant C\left\|h^{\prime}\right\||t| / v(x) \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, for $L_{3}$, we have $L_{3} \leqslant\left\|h^{\prime}\right\||t| / v(x)$. This proves the lemma.

## 5 Proof of main results

Theorem 2.2 is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.3.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Recall that $\Delta=W-W^{\prime}$ and observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\mathrm{E}\left[\left(W-W^{\prime}\right)\left(f(W)+f\left(W^{\prime}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =2 \lambda \mathrm{E}[f(W) g(W)]+2 \lambda \mathrm{E}\left[f(W) r_{1}(W)\right]-\mathrm{E}\left[\Delta\left(f(W)-f\left(W^{\prime}\right)\right)\right] \\
& =2 \mathrm{E}[f(W) \mathrm{E}[\Delta \mid W]]-\mathrm{E}\left[\Delta \int_{-\Delta}^{0} f^{\prime}(W+t) d t\right] \\
& =2 \lambda \mathrm{E}[f(W) g(W)]+2 \lambda \mathrm{E}\left[f(W) r_{1}(W)\right]-2 \lambda \mathrm{E}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{\prime}(W+t) \hat{K}(t) d t\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\hat{K}(t)=\mathrm{E}\left[\left.\frac{\Delta}{2 \lambda}(\mathbb{1}(-\Delta \leqslant t \leqslant 0)-\mathbb{1}(0 \leqslant t \leqslant-\Delta)) \right\rvert\, W\right]$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}[f(W) g(W)]=\mathrm{E} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{\prime}(W+t) \hat{K}(t) d t-\mathrm{E}\left[f(W) r_{1}(W)\right] \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \lambda} \mathrm{E}\left[f^{\prime}(W) \Delta^{2}\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[f^{\prime}(W) v(W)\right]+\mathrm{E}\left[f^{\prime}(W) r_{2}(W)\right] \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for $f_{h}$ given in (4.3), by (5.1), (5.2), Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|\mathrm{E}[h(W)]-\mathrm{E}[h(Y)]| & =\left|\mathrm{E}\left[v(W) f_{h}^{\prime}(W)-g(W) f_{h}(W)\right]\right| \\
& =\left|\mathrm{E}\left[f_{h}(W) r_{1}(W)\right]-\mathrm{E}\left[f_{h}^{\prime}(W) r_{2}(W)\right]-\mathrm{E} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^{\prime}(W+t)-f^{\prime}(W) d t\right| \\
& \leqslant C\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|\left(\mathrm{E}\left|r_{1}(W)\right|+\mathrm{E}\left|r_{2}(W)\right|+\mathrm{E}\left[\frac{1}{v(W)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|t| \hat{K}(t) d t\right]\right) \\
& \leqslant C\left\|h^{\prime}\right\|\left(\mathrm{E}\left|r_{1}(W)\right|+\mathrm{E}\left|r_{2}(W)\right|+\mathrm{E}\left|\Delta^{3} / \lambda v(W)\right|\right) . \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof.
Proof of Theorem 2.4. The inequality (2.14) is trivial if $2 c_{5} \delta / c_{2}>1$. We assume that $2 c_{5} \delta / c_{2} \leqslant 1$ below. Now let $h(W)=\mathbb{1}(W \leqslant z)$. Assume that $|\Delta| \leqslant \delta$. By (5.1),

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}\left[f(W) g(W)+f(W) r_{1}(W)\right]= & \mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta} f^{\prime}(W+t) \hat{K}(t) d t\right] \\
= & \mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta} f(W+t) q(W+t) \hat{K}(t) d t\right] \\
& +\mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta} \frac{(h(W+t)-\mathrm{E}[h(Y)]) \hat{K}(t)}{v(W+t)} d t\right] . \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta} \frac{(h(W+t)-\mathrm{E}[h(Y)]) \hat{K}(t)}{v(W+t)} d t\right] \geqslant & \mathrm{E}\left[\left(\frac{\mathbb{1}(W \leqslant z-\delta)-\mathrm{P}(Y \leqslant z)}{v(W)}\right) \int_{|t| \leqslant \delta} \hat{K}(t) d t\right] \\
& -\left|\mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta}\left(\frac{1}{v(W)}-\frac{1}{v(W+t)}\right) \hat{K}(t) d t\right]\right| \\
\geqslant & \mathrm{P}(W \leqslant z-\delta)-\mathrm{P}(Y \leqslant z)-\mathrm{E}\left|\frac{r_{2}(W)}{v(W)}\right| \\
& -\left|\mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta}\left(\frac{1}{v(W)}-\frac{1}{v(W+t)}\right) \hat{K}(t) d t\right]\right| \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have by (5.4),

$$
\mathrm{P}(W \leqslant z-\delta)-\mathrm{P}(Y \leqslant z) \leqslant \mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta}(f(W) q(W)-f(W+t) q(W+t)) \hat{K}(t) d t\right]
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left|\mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta}\left(\frac{1}{v(W)}-\frac{1}{v(W+t)}\right) \hat{K}(t) d t\right]\right| \\
& +2 c_{2} \mathrm{E}\left|r_{1}(W)\right|+\mathrm{E}\left|\frac{r_{2}(W)}{v(W)}\right| \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used (4.6) in the last inequality.
We only need to obtain the bounds of the following two terms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta}\left(\frac{1}{v(W)}-\frac{1}{v(W+t)}\right) \hat{K}(t) d t\right] \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta}(f(W) q(W)-f(W+t) q(W+t)) \hat{K}(t) d t\right] \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the term (5.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E} & {\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta}\left(\frac{1}{v(W)}-\frac{1}{v(W+t)}\right) \hat{K}(t) d t\right] } \\
& \leqslant \\
& \frac{\delta^{2}}{\lambda} \mathrm{E}\left[\sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta}\left|\frac{1}{v(W+t)}\right| \mathbb{1}\left(W \in I_{1} \cup I_{3}\right)\right] \\
& \quad \mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta}\left(\frac{1}{v(W)}-\frac{1}{v(W+t)}\right) \hat{K}(t) d t \mathbb{1}\left(W \in I_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \leqslant \frac{\delta^{2} \delta_{1}}{\lambda}+\frac{c_{6}^{2} c_{7} \delta^{3}}{4 \lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As to (5.8), we first find the bound of $\sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta}\left|\frac{f(W+t) g(W+t)}{v(W+t)}-\frac{f(W) g(W)}{v(W)}\right| \cdot \mathbb{1}\left(x \in I_{2}\right)$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{f(W+t) g(W+t)}{v(W+t)}-\frac{f(W) g(W)}{v(W)}\right| \\
& \leqslant \\
& \quad\left|\frac{f(W+t) g(W+t)}{v(W+t)}-\frac{f(W+t) g(W+t)}{v(W)}\right| \\
& \quad+\left|\frac{f(W+t) g(W+t)}{v(W)}-\frac{f(W+t) g(W)}{v(W)}\right| \\
& = \\
& \quad: J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Recalling that

$$
\sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta} \sup _{x \in I_{2}}\left|\frac{1}{v(x+t)}\right| \leqslant c_{6}, \quad \sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta} \sup _{x \in I_{2}}\left|v^{\prime}(x+t)\right| \leqslant c_{7}
$$

we have

$$
J_{1} \leqslant \frac{\|f g\| c_{4}|t|}{v(W) v(W+t)} \leqslant c_{6}^{2} c_{7}\|f g\||t|
$$

For $J_{2}$, we have for $x \in I_{2}$ and $c_{5} \delta / c_{2} \leqslant 1 / 2$,

$$
\sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta}|g(x+t)-g(x)| \leqslant 2\left(c_{5} / c_{2}^{2}\right) \delta+2\left(c_{5} / c_{2}\right) \delta|g(w)|
$$

then $J_{2} \leqslant 2\left(c_{5} c_{6} / c_{2}\right)\|f\| \delta\left(1 / c_{2}+|g(W)|\right)$. For $J_{3}$,

$$
J_{3} \leqslant c_{6} \delta \sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta}\left|f^{\prime}(W+t) g(W)\right|
$$

$$
\leqslant c_{6}^{2}(\|f g\|+1) \delta|g(W)|
$$

Therefore, recalling that $\|f\| \leqslant 2 c_{2},\|f g\| \leqslant 2\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta}(f(W) q(W)-f(W+t) q(W+t)) \hat{K}(t) d t \cdot \mathbb{1}\left(W \in I_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{\delta^{3}}{\lambda}\left(\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) c_{6}^{2} c_{7}+c_{5} c_{6} / c_{2}+c_{5} c_{6} \mathrm{E}|g(W)|+c_{6}^{2}\left(\alpha+1+\beta c_{2}\right) \mathrm{E}|g(W)|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For (5.8), similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta}(f(W) q(W)-f(W+t) q(W+t)) \hat{K}(t) d t\right] \\
& \quad \leqslant \mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta}|f(W) q(W)-f(W+t) q(W+t)| \hat{K}(t) d t \mathbb{1}\left(W \in I_{1} \cup I_{3}\right)\right] \\
& \quad+\mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta}|f(W) q(W)-f(W+t) q(W+t)| \hat{K}(t) d t \mathbb{1}\left(W \in I_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{\|f g\| \delta^{2}}{\lambda} \mathrm{E}\left[\sup _{|t| \leqslant \delta}\left|\frac{1}{v(W+t)}\right|\right]+\mathrm{E}\left[\int_{|t| \leqslant \delta}|f(W) q(W)-f(W+t) q(W+t)| \hat{K}(t) d t \mathbb{1}\left(W \in I_{2}\right)\right] \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{2\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) \delta^{2} \delta_{1}}{\lambda}+\frac{\delta^{3}}{\lambda}\left(\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) c_{6}^{2} c_{7}+c_{5} c_{6} / c_{2}+c_{5} c_{6} \mathrm{E}|g(W)|+c_{6}^{2}\left(\alpha+1+\beta c_{2}\right) \mathrm{E}|g(W)|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, combining those inequalities, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{P}(W & \leqslant z-\delta)-\mathrm{P}(Y \leqslant z) \\
\leqslant & 2 c_{2} \mathrm{E}\left|r_{1}(W)\right|+\mathrm{E}\left|\frac{r_{2}(W)}{v(W)}\right|+\frac{2\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}+1\right) \delta^{2} \delta_{1}}{\lambda} \\
& +\frac{\delta^{3}}{\lambda}\left(\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) c_{6}^{2} c_{7}+c_{5} c_{6} / c_{2}+c_{5} c_{6} \mathrm{E}|g(W)|+c_{6}^{2}\left(\alpha+1+\beta c_{2}\right) \mathrm{E}|g(W)|\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, $\mathrm{P}(z-\delta \leqslant Y \leqslant z) \leqslant\|p\| \delta$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}(W & \leqslant z-\delta)-\mathrm{P}(Y \leqslant z-\delta) \\
\leqslant & 2 c_{2} \mathrm{E}\left|r_{1}(W)\right|+\mathrm{E}\left|\frac{r_{2}(W)}{v(W)}\right|+\frac{2\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}+1\right) \delta^{2} \delta_{1}}{\lambda}+\|p\| \delta \\
& +\frac{\delta^{3}}{\lambda}\left(\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) c_{6}^{2} c_{7}+c_{5} c_{6} / c_{2}+c_{5} c_{6} \mathrm{E}|g(W)|+c_{6}^{2}\left(\alpha+1+\beta c_{2}\right) \mathrm{E}|g(W)|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}(Y \leqslant z+\delta)-\mathrm{P}(W \leqslant z+\delta) \\
& \leqslant 2 c_{2} \mathrm{E}\left|r_{1}(W)\right|+\mathrm{E}\left|\frac{r_{2}(W)}{v(W)}\right|+\frac{2\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}+1\right) \delta^{2} \delta_{1}}{\lambda}+\|p\| \delta \\
&+\frac{\delta^{3}}{\lambda}\left(\left(\alpha+\beta c_{2}\right) c_{6}^{2} c_{7}+c_{5} c_{6} / c_{2}+c_{5} c_{6} \mathrm{E}|g(W)|+c_{6}^{2}\left(\alpha+1+\beta c_{2}\right) \mathrm{E}|g(W)|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
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